


United States District Court for the
Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division

Securities and Exchange Commission
VS.

Christopher A. Faulkner, Breitling Energy , et al.,

Relief Defendant. Paul A. Wohrman, ET AL.



Case Number 3:16-cv-01735-D

May 3, 2019

PETITION AGAINST RECEIVER'S PROPOSED DISTRIBUTION PLAN

I, Paul A. Wohrman, investor in the Jericho and Joshua Group, do not have an Attorney to present on my behalf, and I am requesting the Court to accept this Petition as Pro Se Party. I do hereby Petition to the Court regarding the Receiver's Proposed Distribution Plan. I feel the Receiver's Proposal favors the Working Interest Investor and has left out important and essential elements in concluding its decision. I am not an attorney and am simply responding to a proposal that appears to be Bias, Prejudicial, ignoring tax preferential tax laws and conflicts with some of the Oil Royalty Investors basic Civil Rights.

The method of distribution did not take into account the Clear and Distinct Classes of Investment Owners. By not doing so, I believe it violates the Civil Rights of each investor especially the Oil Royalty Investor. There are several Simple and Obvious Issues that clearly Divides the Working Interest Investor from the Oil Royalty Investor. These simple and basic issues if overlooked, can and will abridge the Basic Civil Rights of the Royalty Investors. In the Proposed Distribution Plan Requested by the Court Attorney, states that his plan is Equitable and Fair for all parties that lost money. The Receiver's Distribution Plan request that all Assets of the Working Interest Investor and the Oil Royalty Investor be placed in one Pot, and then divide equally based on each persons percentage share. **"HOWEVER, THERE IS ONLY ONE ASSET" and THAT SINGLE ASSET, IF NOT ALL OF IT BELONGS TO THE OIL ROYALTY INVESTORS.** The Oil Royalty Assets consist of Cash Held in Suspense and in ground Mineral Assets. The Funds for the Purchase of the Oil Royalties, came primarily from retirement savings accounts, IRA accounts, 401K Accounts, Pension Funds and other Retirement Accounts. These Royalty Investors were not Privileged to claim an immediate "TAX WRITE OFF" through their IRA or 401K PLAN for their Investment, such as the Luxury Type Tax Write Off Given to the Working Interest Investor. The Working Interest Investor from a TAX STAND POINT were able to write of Dollar for Dollar

Working Interest Investors, have already **Received their Cash Investments back through Utilizing their Preferential IRS Tax Treatment.**

The Working Interest Investor and the Oil Royalty Investor, had **Two Distinct Mind Sets** and invested in two distinct classes of assets. The **"Working Interest Investor"** was prepared to absorb any potential Investment Losses by a **"IRS Preferential Tax Write Off"**. However, the only expectation of the **"Oil Royalty Investor"** was to receive a consistent safe income throughout their retirement lives. The Court Receiver believes it is Fair to use this Single Asset Pot Distribution, consisting Primarily from, Oil Royalty Assets, to pay all Investors regardless of their Investment Class Status. Because there are two distinct Classes of Investors, they should remain in their Separate Classes. The SEC came against Chris Faulkner, Breitling Oil, et-al for its Fraudulent Dealings, and misuse of funds from the Working Interest Investors. The Oil Royalty Investor did not seem to be part of that Legal Action. The Oil Royalty Investor and the Working Interest Investors have always been two separate Entities that Invested with Two Distinct Investment Mind Sets. In order to be completely Fair, the Court Appointed Receiver should acknowledge the immediate compensation afforded to the Working Interest Investor through a Privileged IRS Tax WRITE OFF and such should be Noted and acknowledged as CASH RECEIVED for their Investment.. The Distribution of Assets should then take place using the Existing Asset of Each Investment Class and should Remain the Exclusive Assets of that Investment Class. This would be Fair and Equitable to all parties. Each would simply get back the percentage part of what they originally Invested.

CONCLUSION

The State of mind of the **"Working Interest Investor"** and the **"Oil Royalty Investor"** are Distinctly Different and are therefore two Separate Classes. The **Working Interest Investor** are Investors that in most cases, are **Financially Able** to absorb 100% of their Losses, but are willing to do so because of the **"CHANCE of HUGHE Financial Gains.** On the other side, are the **Royalty Investors, OR THE Typical Working Class Investor,** that are Semi Retired, Fully Retired or in position to Retire using their Life Long Earnings from their Pensions, IRA, Private Savings or 401K Account. From these accounts, Oil Royalties were Purchased. These conservative Investors simply wanted to maintain a consistent cash flow to live out their lives. They want the simple means to maintain a consistent life style. Having a means to live is a Civil Right afforded to all American Citizens. The Proposed Distribution Plan of the Receiver may affect or alter the Civil Rights of the Retired Oil Royalty Investors. The two Distinct Investors and their Mind Sets are clearly different. To take away the Assets of the Oil Royalty Investor or the Assets from the Working Interest Investor, to Pay the Other Investor, abridges the Civil Right of each Party who owns the Asset. The Petitioners believe the Court would be "UNFAIR" to blend the two Distinct Classes of Investors especially for Asset Liquidation Purposes. This BLENDED

the Assets from the Working Interest Investor, to Pay the Other Investor, abridges the Civil Right of each Party who owns the Asset. The Petitioners believe the Court would be "UNFAIR" to blend the two Distinct Classes of Investors especially for Asset Liquidation Purposes. This BLENDED ASSET DISTRIBUTION PROPOSAL, would essentially pay the Working Interest Investors Twice for their Monetary Investment. First Payment to the Working Interest would be through the immediate 80% to 100% Tax Write Off. The Second Payment would be by allowing the Working Interest Investor to participate in the Oil Royalty Investor's Asset Distribution. We Believe the Court and our Legal System would be Bias, Prejudicial and Unfair to further compensate the Working Interest Investor using the Investment Dollars of the OIL Royalty Investor, which we believe abridges the Oil Royalty Investor constitutional and Civil Rights.

In essence, I Simply Request the Receiver for Distribution Purpose Only, to place the Two Entities in their Distinct Classes with their Separate Assets. Put Working Interest Investors with Working Interest Assets and Royalty Investors with Royalty Investors Assets. After such do the Distribution.

I respectfully request the Court to Consider the Merritt of our Petition based on its pure content. I am not an attorney and may have not presented in a Legal Format, but I am petitioning for many people, especially many seniors on fixed incomes for what we believe is Right, Fair and within our Constitutional Civil Rights.

Respectfully,

Paul A. Wohrman
Jericho Royalty Owner
Joshua Royalty Owner

Et al Royalty Owners

Paul A. Wohrman 5-22-19
pwohrman@yahtoo.com
661-349-0148
P.O. BOX 1091
LANDER WY 82520

Paul A. Workman
P.O. Box 1091
Lander WY 82520

CERTIFIED MAIL



7017 3040 0001 1762 3404



U.S. POSTAGE PAID
FOM LETTER
LANDER, WY
82520
MAY 22, 19
AMOUNT

\$4.05

B230AM11585.02



1000

75242

SEC VS FAULKNER ET AL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION CASE # 3:16-CV-01735-D
ATTN CLERKS OFFICE OF THE COURT
1100 COMMERCE ST, SUITE ~~1482~~
1452
DALLAS TEXAS

75242\$1027 0001

RECEIVED
MAY 28 2019
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS